



Wisconsin Department of Commerce PECFA Program

BID NUMBER: 4-53703-3515-11

PECFA number: 53566-2850-03
BRRTS number: 03-23-002587
Site Owner: Charles Zeitler
Site Name: Daehlin's Union 76
Site Address: 703 21st St Monroe WI

Program contact: Eric Scott, Site Review Hydrogeologist
Program contact address: 201 W. Washington Ave., Madison, WI 53701
Program contact phone: 608-266-8516
E-mail: escott@commerce.state.wi.us

Submitted Questions and Comm Responses

1. Approx. 6 gallons of free product were recovered. Has the free product been disposed of?

There is no documentation in the PECFA file regarding free product disposal. There are indications the free product "must be collected" (*Request to Exceed the \$40,000 Remedial Investigation Cap*, REA, January 2, 1997) and a holding tank was included in a second request to exceed the investigation cap (*Request for \$40,000 Cap Exceedence ...*, REA, May 11, 1998). In that May 11, 1999, request, two budgets were included. The budget for *Immediate Action Cost Estimate – Free Product Recovery System* was not approved however, the *Cost Estimate for Additional Investigation* was approved. Additional information maybe available in the DNR files.

2. How much PECFA fund has been used for investigation?

The PECFA site investigation has a cap of \$83,500.00.

3. What is the drinking water source at the site?

"Homes and businesses in the City of Monroe are served with municipal water and sewer." (*Remedial Investigation Report*, REA, October 1997, page 4.)

4. Has the site been upgraded?

The Dept. of Commerce, Environmental & Regulatory, Storage Tank Database, accessible via the Internet at <http://www.commerce.state.wi.us/ER-en-tanks-info.html>, indicates that the tanks at this site (Site ID = 65630) are "Abandoned without Product" or "Temporarily Out of Service".

5. The contaminant mass is not available. However, the progress of remedial action will be based upon contaminant mass reduction at several points. Can we estimate contaminant mass?

Contaminant mass reduction may be used as a tool to gauge the remedial process by the consultant firm. The contamination mass estimate was not made available for this site because the total PECFA reimbursement “is not reasonably expected to exceed its cap” (\$1M). Even if all of the compliant bids exceed the maximum award, the original RAP will establish the cost cap because it’s cost estimate is less than the maximum award for this site. Hence, *SECTION 2 - Conditions of Bid*, the second paragraph of the “Bid Specifications”, is not expected to be invoked.